

Sanibel Fire & Rescue District

Phone: 239-472-5525 Fax: 239-472-2422 www.SanibelFire.com

Sanibel Fire & Rescue District 2351 Palm Ridge Rd Sanibel, FL 33957

Date:

March 3, 2023

To:

Kevin Barbot, Fire Chief

From:

Mike Martin, Chair of Evaluation Panel

Subject:

RFQ for Professional Services, Sanibel Fire & Rescue District

Evaluation Panel Recommendation

The purpose of this memorandum is to present the Evaluation Panel's recommendation for the RFQ for Professional Services to the Sanibel Fire & Rescue District (SFRD) Fire Chief for approval. Upon the Fire Chief's concurrence with the Evaluation Panel's results, SFRD will request price proposals from the recommended firms. The two highest ranked firms are as follows:

- 1) GMA Architects & Planners. Inc.
- 2) Schenkel & Schultz, Inc.

Nature of Procurement

SFRD is seeking two or more experienced and qualified architects and/or engineers (A&E) firms to provide full-service A&E design and construction administration services for SFRD Fire Station #172 on Sanibel Island. The work shall be assigned to the selected qualified firms pursuant to work orders.

Procurement History

SFRD began advertising this Request for Qualifications (RFQ) on January 24, 2023. Advance notice was sent to twelve (12) firms as specifically described in Exhibit 1. The RFQ was also publicly advertised on SFRD's website. Twelve (12) firms obtained the RFQ document and two (2) submittals were received on February 24, 2023.

The submittals were from the following:

- GMA Architects & Planners. Inc.
- Schenkel & Schultz, Inc.

An Evaluation Panel was appointed consisting of the following members:

- Mike Martin
- Chris Jackson
- Brian Howell
- Allen Schelm

The two (2) submittals received were reviewed by staff and all were deemed to be initially responsive to the RFQ. The submittals were then forwarded to the Evaluation Panel for review. After an individual review of the RFQ submittals, the Evaluation Panel met on March 2, 2023. The evaluation and ranking was performed in accordance with the evaluation criteria listed in Exhibit 1 of the RFQ as follows:

Technical Criteria			
Proposer's Qualifications and Experience	25		
Proposer's Lead Architect/Engineer Qualifications and Experience	25		
Proposer's Team Qualifications and Experience 20			
Design Philosophy and Process	15		
Technical Capabilities and Approach/Capacity	15		
TOTAL	100		

Evaluation

After calculating the evaluation scores, the two highest ranked firms are recommended for submittal of price proposals to SFRD as listed below:

Firm	Total Score	Ranking
GMA Architects & Planners. Inc.	83	2
Schenkel & Schultz, Inc	96	1

The basis for the Evaluation Panel's recommendation included:

- 1. The firms possess extensive experience and qualifications in disciplines required;
- 2. The firms proposed Project Managers with the requisite years of experience;
- 3. Teams consist of subconsultants with specialized experience and capability;
- 4. The firms demonstrated strong design philosophy and process;
- 5. The firms demonstrated strong technical capabilities and appropriate approaches to architectural and engineering design.

Determination

For the reasons set forth above, the Evaluation Panel has recommended GMA Architects & Planners. Inc. and Schenkel & Schultz, Inc.¹ After approval of the recommendation, price proposals will be requested from the selected firms for staff's review and analysis. Following staff's acceptance of the price proposals, contracts will be brought to the SFRD Board of Commissioners for approval.

¹ Notwithstanding language in RFQ Section 5.1(9), the purpose of this RFQ was to solicit two or more qualified firms to provide the required services.

I concur with the Evaluation Panel's recommendation:

Kevin Barbot

Fire Chief, Sanibel Rescue & Fire District

Exhibit 1

- and social media, of which written notice shall be provided to all Proposers;
- b. Reject the recommendation to award the Agreement; or
- c. Reject all Proposals and direct the SFRD Procurement Manager to re-open negotiations or to solicit new Proposals.

5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated by considering the following:

GMA

Technical Criteria	
Proposer's Qualifications and Experience	25
Proposer's Lead Architect/Engineer Qualifications and Experience	25
Proposer's Team Qualifications and Experience	20
Design Philosophy and Process	15
Technical Capabilities and Approach/Capacity	15
TOTAL	100

Bain 1 Lucu 3-2-23

- and social media, of which written notice shall be provided to all Proposers;
- b. Reject the recommendation to award the Agreement; or
- c. Reject all Proposals and direct the SFRD Procurement Manager to re-open negotiations or to solicit new Proposals.

5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated by considering the following:

Technical Criteria		
Proposer's Qualifications and Experience	25	
Proposer's Lead Architect/Engineer Qualifications and Experience	25	
Proposer's Team Qualifications and Experience	20	
Design Philosophy and Process	15	
Technical Capabilities and Approach/Capacity	15	
TOTAL	100	

- and social media, of which written notice shall be provided to all Proposers; b. Reject the recommendation to award the Agreement; or
- c. Reject all Proposals and direct the SFRD Procurement Manager to re-open negotiations or to solicit new Proposals.

5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated by considering the following:

SMA

Proposer's Qualifications and Experience	25	
Proposer's Lead Architect/Engineer Qualifications and Experience	25	
Proposer's Team Qualifications and Experience	20	
Design Philosophy and Process	15	
Technical Capabilities and Approach/Capacity	15	
TOTAL	100	

01878188-2

CHRTS JACKSON 3/2/23

- and social media, of which written notice shall be provided to all Proposers;
- b. Reject the recommendation to award the Agreement; or
- c. Reject all Proposals and direct the SFRD Procurement Manager to re-open negotiations or to solicit new Proposals.

5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated by considering the following: SCHENKEL & SHULTB

Technical Criteria		
Proposer's Qualifications and Experience	25	25
Proposer's Lead Architect/Engineer Qualifications and Experience	25	
Proposer's Team Qualifications and Experience	20	
Design Philosophy and Process	15	
Technical Capabilities and Approach/Capacity	15	
TOTAL	100	

- and social media, of which written notice shall be provided to all Proposers; b. Reject the recommendation to award the Agreement; or
- c. Reject all Proposals and direct the SFRD Procurement Manager to re-open negotiations or to solicit new Proposals.

5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated by considering the following:

Schouker	9
SHULTZ	

Technical Criteria		
Proposer's Qualifications and Experience	25	
Proposer's Lead Architect/Engineer Qualifications and Experience	25	
Proposer's Team Qualifications and Experience	20	\dashv
Design Philosophy and Process	15	
Technical Capabilities and Approach/Capacity	15	
FOTAL	100	

BRISN 11WELL 3-2-23

- and social media, of which written notice shall be provided to all Proposers; b. Reject the recommendation to award the Agreement; or
- c. Reject all Proposals and direct the SFRD Procurement Manager to re-open negotiations or to solicit new Proposals.

5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Technical Criteria	DHLLT2
Proposer's Qualifications and Experience	25
Proposer's Lead Architect/Engineer Qualifications and Experience	25
Proposer's Team Qualifications and Experience	20
Design Philosophy and Process	15
Technical Capabilities and Approach/Capacity	15
OTAL	100

Page 87-92	15	Technical capabilities and approach/capacity
Page 81-85	15	Design philosophy & process
Architect (other): 12 years. CAD tech/Architect: 38 years. Interior designer: 12 years. Construction Admin: 39 years	20 .	Team qualifications & experience
Architect: 40 years. Engineer (Amahd Kareh. Haley Ward, Inc.) 36 years exp.	25	Lead architect/engineer's qualifications & experience
Design/Bid/Build: 36. Design/Build: 3. CM at risk: 96. Private: 6. Federal: 2. State/county/muni: 139. 38 Fire Station projects (list on page 19.) Submitted 5 samples of similar public safety projects. Project manager: Submitted 3	8	Proposer's qualifications & experience
Schenkel & Shultz		

risign/Bid/Build: 36. Design/Build: 3. CM at risk: 96. Private: 6. ral: 2. State/county/muni: 139. 38 Fire Station projects (list on ge 19.) Submitted 5 samples of similar public safety projects. Project manager: Submitted 3 Project manager: Submitted 3 Project manager: Submitted 3 Project: 40 years. Engineer (Amahd Kareh. Haley Ward, Inc.) 36 years exp. years exp. ### Page 81-85 Page 81-85 Page 81-85	Proposer's qualifications & experience Lead architect/engineer's qualifications & experience Team qualifications & experience experience Team qualifications & or	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26	Design/Bid/Build: 27. Design/Build: 74. CM at risk: 20. Private: 89. Federal: 0. State/county/muni: 15. Submitted 2 examples of similar size projects. Project manager: submitted 2 Architect: 36 years. Engineer (John T Wodjack, Delisi Fitzgerald Inc.) 26 years exp. Architect (other): 17 years. CAD tech/Architect: 20 years. Interior designer: 7 years. Construction Admin; 44 years Page 27-29
Page 81-85	Design philosophy & process	15	Page 27-29
Page 87-92	Technical capabilities and approach/capacity	15	Page 30-33

	#		Collier Mosquito Control	Goodwill - Naples Park	Estero Crossings East & West	
Pine Ridge Complex	Lehigh 4, 5	North Collier 14	fort Myers Beach 32, 33	Bonita Springs 1, 2, 5, 23, 26	Immokalee 32	GMA

New station design in FL last 3

Parrish 2

design in SWFL last 3 years

New EMS & Fire services

Collier EMS, Golden Gate

\$301,000 for services, \$6.3million build NOT STARTED, 2 bays, 14,000

\$342,000 for services, \$6.2 million construction, ONGOING. 3 bay

New replacement in gulf coast last 3 years

Sarasota 9

New station design in SWFL last 3 years

Estero 45

Schenkel & Shultz

\$180,000 for services, \$6.5 million build, 1.5 year construction, 5 bays + training tower, 19,000 s.f.

New replacement in FL last 3

Deland 81

\$438,000 for services, \$6.1 million build. 1 year construction. 4 bays,

community space 22,000 s.f.

\$472,000 for sevices, \$7million build. 2 year construction. 3 bays

